



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,522	07/08/2003	Jeremy A. Theil	10021136-1	9342

57299 7590 04/10/2007
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.
P.O. BOX 1920
DENVER, CO 80201-1920

EXAMINER

SELBY, GEVELL V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2622

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/615,522	THEIL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gevell Selby	2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. **Claims 1-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bird, US 5,721,422.**

In regard to claim 1, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses an image sensor, comprising:

multiple pixels (see figure 1, elements 10) each include a respective photodiode region (see column 4, lines 45-55);

pixel circuits (see figure 4) each operable to control integration and readout steps for a respective pixel (see column 5, lines 1-41 and column 5, line 65 to column 6, line 3); and

a bias circuit (see figure 1, element 50, S1 and S2) operable to apply voltages across the pixels to induce carrier injection into the photodiode regions to reduce image lag (see column 5, line 34 to column 6, line 12: It is inherent that forward biasing the pixel element 8 in the Bird reference induces carrier injection

into the photodiode region thus reducing lag, since it is an effect of biasing the pixel).

In regard to claim 2, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 1, wherein the bias circuit (see figure 1, element 50) is operable to induce forward bias flow of injected carriers through the pixel photodiode regions (see column 5, lines 34-50).

In regard to claim 3, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 2, the bias circuit is operable to periodically induce forward bias flow of injected carriers through photodiode regions (see column 5, lines 34-50).

In regard to claim 4, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 3, wherein the pixel circuits and the bias circuit are cooperatively configured so that forward bias flow of injected carriers occurs during a reset step for each pixel (see column 5, lines 46-50).

In regard to claim 5, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 2, wherein pixels are arranged in an array of multiple rows (see figure 1) and the bias circuit is operable to simultaneously induce forward bias flow of injected carriers through the photodiode regions of all pixels in a given row of the array (see column 5, lines 1-32: It is inherent the bias circuit of the Bird reference is operable to induce the bias in all the pixel of a row, since each pixel is activated individually).

In regard to claim 6, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 5, wherein the bias circuit is operable to simultaneously induce forward bias flow of injected carriers through the photodiode regions one row at a time (see column 5, lines 1-

32: It is inherent the bias circuit of the Bird reference is operable to induce the bias in all the pixel of a row, since each pixel is activated individually).

In regard to claim 7, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 6. It is inherent the bias circuit of the Bird reference is operable to simultaneously induce forward bias flow of injected carriers through photodiode regions of a given row before the pixel circuits in the given row initiate an integration step for the given row, because this is an intended use of the bias circuit and the structure of the bias circuit is disclosed.

In regard to claim 8, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 5. It is inherent the bias circuit of the Bird reference is operable to simultaneously induce forward bias flow of injected carriers through photodiode regions of all rows in the array, because this is an intended use of the bias circuit and the structure of the bias circuit is disclosed.

In regard to claim 9, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 1, wherein it is inherent the Zhao reference discloses that the bias circuit is operable to induce carrier injection between photodiode regions of pixels, because this is an intended use of the bias circuit and the structure of the bias circuit is disclosed (see column 5, lines 34-50).

In regard to claim 10, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 1, wherein it is inherent the Zhao reference discloses that the bias circuit is operable to induce carrier injection between photodiode regions of adjacent pixels, because this is an intended use of the bias circuit and the structure of the bias circuit is disclosed (see column 5, lines 34-50).

In regard to claim 11 Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 10, wherein the bias circuit is operable to apply different voltages levels to nodes of adjacent pixels (see column 5, line 51 to column 6, line 12).

In regard to claim 12, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 11, wherein the bias circuit is operable to apply different high-to-low voltage ranges across adjacent pixels (see column 5, line 51 to column 6, line 12).

In regard to claim 13, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image of sensor of claim 11, wherein pixels are arranged in an array of multiple rows (see figure 1) and the bias circuit is operable to apply different voltage levels to nodes of adjacent pixels in adjacent rows (see column 5, line 51 to column 6, line 12).

In regard to claim 14, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 11, wherein pixels are arranged in an array of rows and columns (see figure 2) and the bias circuit is operable to apply different voltage levels to nodes adjacent pixels in adjacent rows and to apply different voltage levels to nodes of adjacent pixels in adjacent columns (see column 5, line 51 to column 6, line 12).

In regard to claim 15, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 10, wherein the different voltage levels applied to nodes of adjacent pixels are switched periodically (see column 6, lines 13-57).

In regard to claim 16, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 10, wherein the bias circuit includes two bias lines for applying different respective voltage levels to the pixels (see figure 1, elements 53 and 54).

In regard to claim 18, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses a method of operating an image sensor comprising multiple pixels each including a respective photodiode region (see figure 1), the method comprising:

resetting photodiode regions (see column 5, lines 46-50);
integrating charge in photodiode regions (see column 5, lines 42-46);
sampling pixel nodes (see column 5, lines 46-50); and
applying voltages across the pixels to induce carrier injection into photodiode regions to reduce image lag (see column 5, line 34 to column 6, line 12: It is inherent that forward biasing the pixel element 8 in the Bird reference induces carrier injection into the photodiode region thus reducing lag, since it is an effect of biasing the pixel).

In regard to claim 19, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the method of claim 18, wherein inducing carrier injection comprises inducing forward bias flow of carriers through the pixel photodiode regions (see column 5, lines 34-50).

In regard to claim 20, Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the method of claim 18, wherein inducing carrier injection comprises inducing carrier injection between photodiode regions of adjacent pixels (see column 5, lines 34-50).

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over, Bird, US 5,721,422, in view of Baer, US 6,914,230,

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37

Art Unit: 2622

CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

In regard to claim 17, , Bird, US 5,721,422, discloses the image sensor of claim 10, wherein the bias circuit includes a bias line (see figure 4, element BIAS). The Bird reference does not disclose the component of the bias circuit.

Baer, US 6,914,230, discloses a system and method for reducing image lag wherein the bias circuit comprises a set of resistive elements respectively coupled in parallel between the bias line and alternate pixels (see figure 5, element 108 and 112).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have been motivated to modify Bird, US 5,721,422, in view of Baer, US 6,914,230, wherein the bias circuit comprises a set of resistive elements respectively coupled in parallel between the bias line and alternate pixels, in order to reduce the effects of electric charge charged in the photo-detector, thus reducing the image lag.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gevell Selby whose telephone number is 571-272-7369. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on 571-272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gvs



LYN YE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER